

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 653 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA sd/-

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed
to see the judgements? No
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? No

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? No

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? No

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? No

STATE OF GUJARAT

Ms.K.N.Valikarimwala, A.G.P. for Respondents

Date of decision: 08/10/98

1. This Revision under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure arises out of the following brief facts:-

2. Ms. K.N.Valikarimwala, learned A.G.P. makes a statement that the departmental inquiry against the revisionist was concluded and punishment of withholding one increament was awarded on 15.11.1995 and as a consequence thereof the order of suspension stands vacated. This statement of the learned A.G.P. could not be controverted by the learned Counsel for the

revisionist. Since the departmental inquiry was concluded and punishment of withholding one increament was awarded, the suspension order goes and as such this revision challenging the said suspension order becomes infructuous.

3. The revision is therefore dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

sd/-

Date : October 08, 1998 (D. C. Srivastava, J.)

sas